Methodology for

Nottinghamshire Landscape Character Assessment

Prepared by:

Helen Jones Landscape and Reclamation Team Trent Bridge House Fox Road West Bridgford Nottingham NG2 6BJ

Date: AUGUST 2009

CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	
2.0	Changes in	Government Legislation
3.0	Developmen	nt of GIS based systems
4.0	The need fo	r transparency and repeatability of the process
5.0	What has all	ready been achieved in Nottinghamshire
6.0	Methodology	of the Landscape Character Assessment
6.1	Introduction	
6.2	Stage 1	Information Collection and Research
6.3	Stage 2	Definition of Regional Character Areas
6.4	Stage 3	Definition of County Character Areas
6.5	Stage 4	Description of Landscape Character - desk based and field based
6.6	Stage 5	Classification of Landscape Character Parcels into Draft Policy Zones
6.7	Stage 6	Description of Landscape Condition and Landscape Sensitivity – desk based and field based
6.8	Stage 7	Preparation of Policy Sheets
6.9	Stage 8	Analysis of data collected in the field for Landscape Condition and Sensitivity
7.0	Proposed Pr	resentation of Information
8.0	Work comp Character A	leted at August 2009 of the Nottinghamshire Landscape ssessment
9.0	Relationship	to Other Documents

Glossary of Terms

References

Appendices

Appendix 1	List of Landscape Policies included in Local Plans
Appendix 2	Revised County Landscape Character Map
Appendix 3	Checklist for Desk based Landscape Character Assessment
Appendix 4	Field Survey Sheet for Landscape Character Assessment
Appendix 5	How to complete Field Survey Sheet for Landscape Character
Appendix 6	Tabulated Information to show Amalgamation of LDUs into DPZs
Appendix 7	Checklist for Desk based Landscape Condition and Landscape Sensitivity Assessment
Appendix 8	Field Survey Sheet for Landscape Condition and Sensitivity Assessment
Appendix 9	How to complete Field Survey Sheet for Landscape Condition and Landscape Sensitivity
Appendix 10	Example Policy Sheet – Character Summary
Appendix 11	Example Policy Sheet Template
Appendix 12	Example Policy Sheet – Landscape Actions

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The revision of the Nottinghamshire Countryside Appraisal, first published as the Nottinghamshire Landscape Guidelines in 1997, is being co-ordinated by Nottinghamshire County Council's Landscape and Reclamation Team. The client for the pilot stage of the project was Nottinghamshire County Council's Conservation Group.

1.1 What is Landscape Character Assessment?

Natural England (formerly The Countryside Agency), the Government body established to conserve and enhance England's countryside, defines Landscape Character Assessment as follows:-

"Landscape Character Assessment provides a framework for understanding and describing an area in a systematic way, enabling us to make better judgements and decisions to help guide future changes in our countryside."

1.2 Why do the existing guidelines need to be revised?

The reasons why the existing guidelines need to be revised are as follows:-

- changes in Government legislation;
- development of GIS based systems;
- need for transparency of method.

2.0 CHANGES IN GOVERNMENT LEGISLATION

The recent developments in legislation may be summarised as follows:-

2.1 Planning Policy Guidance (PPG) Note Number 7 January 1992 The Countryside – Environmental Quality and Economic and Social Development

This document recognised, in paragraph 3.17, that some local authorities had devised Local Landscape Designations (LLDs) to:-

"Highlight particularly important areas of countryside that should be taken into account in planning decisions". These included locally devised designations "which local authorities sometimes include in their Structure or Local Plans to denote areas to which special countryside protection or other policies apply".

2.2 The Rural White Paper 1995

In 1995 the Government set out its policy to strengthen the distinctive character of the land and built environment in the Rural White Paper. This placed emphasis on the need to identify local characteristics and promoted a joint initiative by the Countryside Agency and English Nature to classify and map the cultural and natural dimensions of the landscape.

The output of this initiative, known as "The Joint Map" entitled "The Character of England – landscape, wildlife and natural features" was described as providing for the first time a comprehensive and consistent analysis of the English countryside that would help to guide future efforts to conserve and enhance it. This national study provided the framework around which local authorities set their more detailed assessments.

This has since been updated in 2005 and is now called "The Character of England – Landscape, Wildlife, Natural and Cultural Features".

2.3 Revision to PPG Note Number 7 1997

This revision further developed previous guidance and stated in para 2.14 that the priority was "to find new ways of enriching the quality of the whole countryside whilst accommodating appropriate development, in order to complement the protections which designations offer". It attached significant weight to the countryside character approach, which it promoted as an important tool to accommodate this change without sacrificing local character. Para 2.15 stated "it (CCA approach) can help ensure that development respects the distinctive character of the land and built environment".

The revision of the PPG7 marked a change in emphasis with regard to the use and value of LLDs. Whilst the revision did not specifically preclude development plans from containing LLDs, it did place the onus on local authorities to carefully consider whether appropriate development and economic activity were being unduly restricted by LLDs.

2.4 Planning Policy Statement – PPS7 – 2004 Sustainable Development In Rural Areas

This document replaced the former PPG7 and marked a further change in emphasis in the use of LLDs.

Para 24 set out that:-

"The Government recognises and accepts that there are areas of landscape outside nationally designated areas that are particularly highly valued locally. The Government believes that carefully drafted, criteria-based policies in Local Development Documents, utilising tools such as landscape character assessment, should provide sufficient protection for these areas, without the need for rigid local designations that may unduly restrict acceptable, sustainable development and the economic activity that underpins the vitality of rural areas".

and Para 25:-

"LLDs should only be maintained or, exceptionally, extended where it can be clearly shown that criteria-based planning policies cannot provide the necessary protection. LLDs should state what it is that requires extra protection and why. When reviewing their local area-wide development plans

and LLDs, planning authorities should rigorously consider the justification for retaining LLDs. They should ensure that such designations are based on a formal and robust assessment of the qualities of the landscape concerned."

2.5 Nottinghamshire and Nottingham Joint Structure Plan – Adopted February 2006

The above document contained the following Policy 2/7 concerning Landscape Character:-

"Local Plans / development plan documents will define local landscape characteristics in accordance with the work of the Countryside Agency and Nottinghamshire County Council's Landscape Guidelines, to inform land allocations and assessment of development proposals. The landscape character approach will be used to promote the conservation and enhancement of local landscape character and distinctiveness and the maintenance of landscape diversity throughout the whole plan area."

It also states in the explanatory notes that a revised landscape character assessment is currently underway, and that in the interim period before the completion of the new landscape character assessment, the existing landscape guidelines and Mature Landscape Areas should still be referred to.

Policy 2/10 'Development in the Countryside' aims to protect the character and qualities of the countryside. It states:-

"The character and qualities of the countryside will be protected ... development proposals must be located and designed to respect the character of the surrounding area ..."

The policy goes on to highlight the importance of design which is in keeping with the existing character, and states:-

"In all cases, development proposals will be located and designed to respect the character of the surrounding area and priority will be given to the re-use of existing buildings and derelict land."

2.6 East Midlands Regional Plan

Policy 31 - Priorities for the Management and Enhancement of the Region's Landscape states that:-

The Region's natural and heritage landscape should be protected and enhanced by:-

Policy 31

Priorities for the Management and Enhancement of the Region's Landscape

The Region's natural and heritage landscape should be protected and enhanced by:

- the promotion of the highest level of protection for the nationally designated landscapes of the Peak District National Park and the Lincolnshire Wolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty;
- the promotion of initiatives to protect and enhance the particular character of the Sherwood, Charnwood and Rockingham Forests;
- the establishment of criteria-based policies in Local Development Frameworks to ensure that development proposals respect intrinsic landscape character in rural and urban fringe areas, including, where appropriate, recognition of the value of tranquillity and dark skies; and
- the identification in Local Development Frameworks of landscape and biodiversity protection and enhancement objectives through the integration of Landscape Character Assessments with historic and ecological assessments.

Where not already in place, Local Authorities should prepare Landscape Character Assessments to inform the preparation of Local Development Frameworks. These can also be used to develop Supplementary Planning Documents.

Paragraph 3.3.21 talks about the distinctive landscape character of Sherwood which is threatened by pressure from a range of factors and states that coordinated action is required to ensure that such distinctiveness is retained.

Paragraph 3.3.22 states that a regional level landscape character has been broadly defined in the Countryside Agency's publication Countryside Character Volume 4 1999 and gives an overview of what detailed landscape character assessments have already been carried out at a county level.

Paragraph 3.3.23 gives examples of the types of landscapes of character that need to be conserved or enhanced, such as remnant heathlands, ridge and furrow field patterns, and pre-enclosure landscape and parklands.

Paragraph 3.3.24 deals with Local Landscape Designations and states "understanding the importance of all landscapes and reducing the emphasis on local landscape designations will ensure that the character of one area is not protected at the expense of another". This approach continues to move away from the use of LLDs.

2.7 Summary

To summarise the changes in Government legislation, this continues to support the process of Landscape Character Assessment but is moving away

from the designation of Local Landscape Designations as a result of PPS7 statement to "rigorously consider the justification for retaining existing Local Landscape Designations."

With the commencement of production of Local Development Frameworks to replace existing Local Plans, local authorities have to decide on their approach.

3.0 DEVELOPMENT OF GIS BASED SYSTEMS

The original Nottinghamshire Landscape Guidelines, published in 1997, were developed as a result of a manual process of information collection begun in 1990 which was stored on a paper based system. Central to the process of Landscape Character Assessment is the analysis of the relationship between different landscape elements such as geology, soils, and settlement pattern in order to classify and describe the landscape. Since the start of the first assessment there have been major developments in the use of GIS software used for handling map-based information. This facilitates the process, storage and analysis of presentation of spatial data and is therefore particularly suited to LCA work.

4.0 THE NEED FOR TRANSPARENCY AND REPEATABILITY OF THE PROCESS

A paper-based system has two major disadvantages. Firstly it may be difficult for later interrogators of the system to understand the exact process by which the different character areas were derived. Secondly, if the process were to be repeated, there may be slight variations in classification achieved by different assessors. A GIS based system on the other hand should be more transparent in that it should be possible to break down the stages of the assessment process and see how different character areas are derived. Theoretically, because it is a computer-based system, given the same initial datasets, the same classification should be achieved every time.

5.0 WHAT HAS ALREADY BEEN ACHIEVED IN NOTTINGHAMSHIRE?

5.1 Introduction to the Nottinghamshire Countryside Appraisal

Research, survey and assessment work for the NCA began in 1989. This work was undertaken by the County Council's Rural Environment Group, located within the Environment Department.

The aim of the NCA was to provide a detailed information base and strategic overview of the county's rural environment around which environment planning, landscape management and other decisions could be made. The output of this work has been used to underpin the then Environment Department's work, not only in landscape protection and conservation but also in nature conservation, environmental appraisal, development control and the formulation of statutory and non-statutory plans. The outputs of the process are described below.

5.2 The Nottinghamshire Landscape Guidelines Document and the Landscape Types Plan

These documents were concerned with landscape conservation and the management of the county's landscape. The detailed methodology of the process is set out in "Nottinghamshire Countryside Appraisal Revised Methodology 1999". However, in summary, the project was adapted from work undertaken by the Warwickshire Landscape Partnership and was compatible with the Countryside Agency's Landscape Assessment Guidance at the time.

The process involved the classification of the landscape into a series of regional character areas and landscape sub types, which were described in detail in the document, including the visual character of the landscape.

The main drivers of change within each Landscape Character Area were assessed and this led to the development of a series of management strategies and key recommendations for each landscape type, along with detailed guidelines for the management of various landscape features and components.

5.3 Definition of Mature Landscape Areas – MLAs

This process was concerned with landscape protection within the county. The detailed methodology of the process is set out in "Nottinghamshire Countryside Appraisal Revised Methodology 1999". In summary, the process involved mapping the land use of the county using existing land use data and aerial photographs together with historical and ecological information. The following features were identified:-

mature deciduous woodland; intact field patterns; ancient species rich hedgerows; permanent grassland; heathland; parkland; mature river / stream courses.

The following features were excluded:-

urban development; mineral extraction; existing commercial forestry; intensive agriculture.

This process gave an initial list of "areas least affected by adverse change". Detailed field survey work was carried out to look at identified features on the ground and the list was further refined. A set of criteria was established against which identified MLAs were tested. Once each MLA was finalised, a

written description, a representative photo or sketch, and a MLA plan with a clearly marked boundary were produced. There then followed a further period of consultation with the Local Planning Authorities on their MLAs, which were made formal with the adoption of the relevant Local Plan. (See Appendix 1 for list of existing local plan policies).

5.4 History of the Nottinghamshire Countryside Appraisal

The Nottinghamshire Landscape Guidelines and the Mature Landscape Area designations were first tested at a Local Plan Public Inquiry in 1992. Landscape policies arising from the NCA are included in local plans and planning inspectors have made a number of recommendations since as to how the work should be interpreted, applied and approved. The NCA has also been tested at both Minerals Local Plan Inquiries and Waste Local Plan Inquiries as well as those for individual projects.

In 1997 the council commissioned an independent and impartial study by David Tyldesley and Associates to review the MLA designations within the NCA. The review recommended that a countywide MLA policy framework should be retained and that MLAs continue to provide a systematic, consistent and rational basis for taking into account areas of countryside meriting special protection.

Obviously, there have been a number of changes in legislation during this time as discussed previously. The key issue is that, since MLAs are local landscape designations and therefore must be underpinned by a "formal and robust" assessment of landscape type and quality, this needs to be a more transparent process with the ability to be explained at Public Inquiry for example, and also one which does not unduly restrict development. These factors taken together reinforce the need for the review of the existing guidelines.

6.0 METHODOLOGY OF THE LANDSCAPE CHARACTER ASSESSMENT

6.1 Introduction

The detailed methodology of the original project described in the previous section is contained in "Nottinghamshire Countryside Appraisal, Revised Methodology 1999 – Section F Landscape Assessment Methodology" and consists of the following stages:-

Stage 1	Defining Regional Character Areas
Stage 2	Literature Review
Stage 3	Classification into Landscape Types
Stage 4	Preparation of Management Strategies, Key Recommendations and Landscape Guidelines

The detailed methodology of the pilot stage of current assessment will be described in the following sections and consisted of the following stages:-

Stage 1	Information Collection and Research
Stage 2	Definition of Regional Character Areas
Stage 3	Description of Landscape Character – Desk based and field based
Stage 4	Classification of Landscape Character Parcels into Draft Policy Zones
Stage 5	Description of Landscape Condition and Landscape Sensitivity – Desk based and field based
Section 6	Preparation of Policy Zones

6.2 Stage 1 Information Collection and Research

This stage involved a review of methods used by other organisations to carry out Landscape Character Assessments. It involved telephone discussions, visits and meetings, as well as review of published literature and review of information available on line, such as the Countryside Character network database. The following organisations were consulted directly:-

Staffordshire County Council	Meeting with Steve Potter and visit December 2003 to discuss methodology of Staffordshire Landscape Character Assessment.
Northamptonshire County Council	Meeting with Jason Longhurst – March 2004 to discuss methodology of Northamptonshire Landscape Characterisation.
Derbyshire County Council	Various meetings with Glynis Foster, Gary Ellis to discuss methodology of Derbyshire Landscape Character Assessment.
David Tydlesley and Associates	Telephone discussions and meetings with Anthony Brown, particularly with reference to Landscape Character work carried out in Scotland.
Lincolnshire County Council	Telephone discussions.
Leicestershire County Council	Telephone discussions.
Peak District National Park	Telephone discussions.

Authority

High Peak Telephone discussions. Borough Council

A Literature Review of published information produced by Warwickshire County Council and South Gloucestershire County Council also took place.

In addition, during the period of pilot study, Natural England (formerly the Countryside Agency) set up the Regional Landscape Forum in February 2005, which gathered together all Landscape Architects working on Landscape Character Assessment in the East Midlands to facilitate exchange of information.

In addition, the NCC Landscape and Reclamation Team worked closely with Jane Farmer and David Green of Jacobs Babtie, who had carried out the Kent County Council Landscape Appraisal.

This collaboration involved a presentation of the methodology of their project, as well as one to one tuition of the method including joint field survey work. Parts of the written documentation produced by Kent County Council was also used as a model for work by Nottinghamshire County Council and this is referred to in the relevant sections.

The team also received one to one training from Stephen Warnock on the production and interpretation of the Landscape Description Unit Map and its associated database.

6.3 Stage 2 Definition of Regional Character Areas

The areas within the Nottinghamshire County boundary that have been defined by the Countryside Agency on the 'Joint Map' are as follows:-

- 30 Southern Magnesian Limestone
- 38 Nottinghamshire, Derbyshire and Yorkshire Coalfield
- 39 Humberhead Levels
- 48 Trent and Belvoir Vales
- 49 Sherwood
- 69 Trent Valley Washlands
- 74 Leicestershire and Nottinghamshire Wolds

These character areas have been classified using geology, topography, soils, vegetation, field enclosure and settlement patterns.

6.4 Stage 3 Definition of the County Character Areas

6.4.1 Introduction

The decision was taken by Nottinghamshire County Council to further subdivide these areas using 'The Living Landscapes Project' Methodology. This was developed by Stephen Warnock of the Department of Geography, University of Reading, and piloted in the Warwickshire Landscape Project. This decision was taken for the following reasons:-

- The methodology builds on previous work carried out by the Countryside Agency. The Countryside Agency was the lead agency for mapping the landscape character of England at the 1:250,000 scale to produce the Joint Map and the GIS based landscape character framework and associated database for the whole of England. This is Level 1 of the Living Landscape Project Methodology.
- The 'Living Landscapes Project' methodology is GIS based. GIS systems are used to assemble, analyse, present and store data.
- The 'Living Landscapes Project' methodology is already established in use. In 2002 the approach had been used by 10 counties in England.
- The 'Living Landscapes Project' methodology has already been used in adjacent counties with borders linking with Nottinghamshire including Derbyshire and Leicestershire. This would assist sharing of best practice between lead officers working on this project in neighbouring counties.

6.4.2 The 'Living Landscapes Project' Methodology

Level 2 of the 'Living Landscapes Project' considers landscape character at the 1:50,000 scale and the onus is on individual local authorities to undertake county ' district level assessments.

The full methodology is described in the 'Living Landscapes Project Handbook', Stephen Warnock 2002, but is summarised below.

The fundamental building block of the hierarchy at this level is the Landscape Description Unit (LDU). LDUs are distinct and relatively homogenous units of land, each defined by a series of attributes. There are four attributes at Level 1:-

- Physiography
- Ground Type
- Land Cover
- Cultural Pattern

At Level 2 each of the Level 1 attributes is split into two parts, giving a total of 8 attributes:-

•	Physiography	\rightarrow	LandformGeology
•	Ground Type	\rightarrow	GeologySoils
•	Settlement	\rightarrow	Settlement PatternFarm Type (structure)
•	Land Cover	\rightarrow	Tree CoverFarm Type (cover)

Definitive attributes are derived through a process of overlay mapping. This process was previously carried out by physically overlaying a number of acetate sheets on top of one another. Undertaking the same process on GIS overcomes many of the physical problems associated with this method, as well as allowing greater scope for analysis of the data. The process allows broad patterns to be distinguished, which in turn make it possible to begin to understand the many factors that contribute to landscape character and define Landscape Character Types or Regional Character Areas.

This process was carried out on behalf of Nottinghamshire County Council by Mark Diacono of Diacono Associates in March 2004 (and was later refined slightly by Stephen Warnock in December 2004). The outputs were a map of the County (Appendix 1) which divides the county into 11 Character Areas and an associated database.

The following Regional Character Areas were derived from this process:-

SH	Sherwood	

ML Magnesian Limestone

HL Humberhead Levels (Idle Lowlands)

MN Mid Nottinghamshire Farmlands

DC Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire Coalfields

TV Trent Valley

LW Leicestershire and Nottinghamshire Wolds

ES East Nottinghamshire Sandlands

SN South Nottinghamshire Farmlands

VB Vale of Belvoir

TW Trent Washlands

Each LDU derived from the process has a 6-figure reference within the database. The database can be interrogated further to see the eight definitive attributes it is derived from and also to give a summary description of the LDU.

Example	LDU 382
	6 figure reference: SSD PSS
Written Description	Sloping undulating, soft sandstone / sandy drift, impoverished soils, low dispersal with farms, small farms, secondary woodland
Example	LDU 389
	6 figure reference: LFB MEP
Written Description	Vales and valley bottoms, other fluvial drift, deep sandy loamy soils, unsettled (meadow and marsh), large estates, estate plantations

Within the database, character areas are also divided further into generic subtypes, such as:-

- village farmlands
- village farmlands with ancient woodlands
- village farmlands with plantations
- meadowlands
- meadowlands with plantations
- estate farmlands
- estate farmlands with plantations

6.5 Stage 3 Description of Landscape Character

6.5.1 Introduction

The next stage of the process was to describe the landscape character of the Landscape Description Units.

In Nottinghamshire, some of the LDUs derived were large in extent and it was decided that they needed to be divided into more manageable units to survey their character in the field. These smaller units are known as Landscape Character Parcels (LCPs).

6.5.2 The Process of Definition of the LCPs

For the Sherwood Landscape Character Area this process was originally carried out by a desk based manual process and was achieved by carrying out the following operations:-

Step 1	Overlay tracing sheets onto a 1:25,000 scale plan of LDU boundaries on an Ordnance Survey Base. This plan was also colour coded to show the descriptions given by Stephen Warnock to each LCP, e.g. Estatelands with plantations, Meadowlands with plantations. The major river valleys and the LDU boundaries were then traced off this plan.
Step 2	Check that all LDUs included are within the Regional Character Area derived from the database, e.g. Sherwood. Remove any LDU boundaries traced on that are not in the Regional Character Area being studied at that time.
Step 3	Sub-divide the LDUs using a desk based assessment of field pattern and land use based on the O.S. base. Group together areas which have regular geometric field patterns, or show a parkland landscape, or have been substantially modified due to mineral extraction for example.
Step 4	Overlay the traced sheet onto 1:25,000 scale plan of LDU boundaries on Historical Landscape Characterisation Summary Plan. (Refer to section 9.2) Amend any divisions made that obviously contradict the boundaries shown on the historical plan.
Step 5	Overlay tracing overlay onto 1:25,000 Ordnance Survey Base. Check boundaries of the identified areas. For practicality at the field assessment stage it may be necessary at this point to slightly amend the LCP boundaries to tie into features on the ground, such as major roads, field boundaries, Public Rights of Way. Also, the precise boundaries or urban areas should be checked at this stage with the latest District Plan, because these may be more up to date than the O.S. plan.
Step 6	Each identified LCP should then be given a unique reference number, e.g. S10:
	S identifying the County Character Area, such as Sherwood. 10 being the unique reference number for that LCP.

For later Landscape Character Areas the process was replaced by a GIS based system and was achieved by carrying out the following operations:-

Step 1	Produce a GIS base plan showing LDU boundaries on an Ordnance Survey Base.
Step 2	Re-draw LDU boundaries on screen moving the boundaries to the nearest physical feature that would be recognisable on the ground, such as a road, stream, field boundary. etc.
Step 3	These revised areas tied to a physical boundary will become LCPs where they are small enough, but in practice some were physically too large to visualise in the field. Therefore, larger areas are further subdivided on screen, again using a physical feature to form smaller area.
Step 4	The defined areas should be overlaid on screen with the Historical Landscape Characterisation Summary Plan to amend any divisions that obviously contradict the boundaries shown on the historical plan.
Step 5	Each identified LCP should then be given a unique reference number, e.g. S10:- S identifies the County Character Area such as Sherwood the unique reference number for that LCP

6.5.3 Desk Based Landscape Character Assessment

Once the LCPs have been defined for a character area, a desk-based collection of information is carried out (refer to Appendix 3 which shows the checklist for this stage). Outputs include 3 GIS derived plans for use in the field which show:-

- OS base with boundary of the LCP shown;
- aerial photograph of the same area showing boundary; and
- plan of any Local Nature Reserves, SSSIs and former Mature Landscape Areas.

6.5.4 Field Based Landscape Character Assessment

The purpose of the field survey is to identify the key qualities and components of the landscape that cannot be determined by desk based assessment. The landscape character was assessed by completing a field survey sheet template for each LCP. (Refer to Appendix 4 which shows the field survey sheet and Appendix 5 which shows how the field survey sheet is completed.)

The NCC field survey sheet used was derived from the field sheet used for the original landscape character survey in the 1990s and survey sheets developed by other authorities, such as Kent County Council. The survey itself was originally carried out by two assessors per LCP, one or both of which was a Landscape Architect but, with experience, this stage could be carried out by one Landscape Architect assessor.

Each LCP area was explored by car initially and footpaths walked where necessary to view inaccessible areas. Once the extent of the LCP could be visualised on the ground by the assessor(s), a suitable viewpoint was chosen to make the record. This was generally a high point in the LCP from which a typical view of the LCP and its components could be obtained.

The data was entered directly into a Map Info database using a laptop. A concise summary was also recorded in the field for each LCP.

A representative photograph of the landscape character, together with photos of any particular representative features, was taken for each LCP. The survey point and the photo point locations were fixed using a 6-figure GPS reference.

6.6 Stage 5 Classification of Landscape Character Parcels (LCPs) into Draft Policy Zones (DPZs)

Once the Landscape Character survey was complete, LCPs were then grouped together prior to the next survey stage to link areas of similar character. The field assessment of Landscape Condition and Landscape Sensitivity for each individual LCP was considered but it was thought to be too time consuming to repeat the process when there were obvious similarities between LCPs.

The grouping of the LCPs was a desk based process which involved the following stages:-

Step 1	List LCPs, also noting LDU reference, 6-figure reference and description, and land use identified in the field.	
Step 2	Amalgamate similar areas using the above parameters.	
Step 3	Tabulate the information collected and include reasons for amalgamation such as same LDU, similar land use; or reasons for retaining as a single unit such as being a distinct geographical feature such as a river valley. This is to provide a written record of how the LDUs were grouped into DPZs.	
Step 4	Produce a GIS map of the above information.	
Step 5	Each identified PZ should then be given a unique reference number, e.g. SH PZ 10:-	
	SH identifies the County Character Area PZ Policy Zone	
	10 the unique reference number for that LCP	

An example of this tabulated information is included as Appendix 6.

6.7 Stage 6 Assessment of Landscape Condition and Landscape Sensitivity

6.7.1 Desk Based Assessment of Landscape Condition and Sensitivity

Once the DPZs have been defined for a County Character Area, a desk based collection of information is carried out. (Refer to Appendix 7 which shows the checklist for this stage.)

6.7.2 Field Based Assessment of Landscape Condition and Sensitivity – Method 1

A method was trialled for the southern half of the Sherwood Regional Character Area using the valley of the River Maun as the dividing feature.

It was agreed not to separate out at the amalgamation stage areas formerly identified as Mature Landscape Areas. The sequence of operations is shown below.

The purpose of the field survey is to identify the key qualities and components of the landscape that cannot be determined by desk based assessment. The landscape condition and sensitivity was assessed by completing a field survey sheet for each DPZ (refer to Appendix 8 which shows a field survey sheet template and Appendix 9 which shows how the field survey sheets is completed).

The field survey sheet used was derived from a survey sheet developed by Kent County Council.

The survey itself was carried out by two assessors per DPZ, one or both of which was a Landscape Architect. If possible, it was found to be important to have two assessors to come to a mutual agreement on the data to be entered.

Each DPZ was explored by car (the assessors were not necessarily the same ones that had carried out the assessment of landscape character parcels). A suitable viewpoint was selected from those used previously for the landscape character field survey.

The data was entered directly into a Map Info database using a laptop.

If necessary a representative photograph of any particular features was taken. The survey point and photo locations were fixed using a 6-figure GPS reference.

6.7.3 <u>Modification to Methodology</u>

Once the whole of the Sherwood pilot area had been completed and results generated, it was felt that the qualities of the MLAs in terms of their high landscape condition and high sensitivity was being "diluted" within the much larger DPZ area. (In the landscape character stage they had largely stayed separate by virtue of their differing qualities from their neighbouring LCP).

Therefore, the northern area of the county using the valley of the River Maun as the dividing feature was trialled using a slightly different method as well as the previous one.

6.7.4 Field Based Assessment of Landscape Condition and Sensitivity – Method 2

This method was exactly the same as Method 1 except that, at the amalgamation stage, MLAs were considered as separate DPZ units at the outset.

A comparison of the two methods showed that when the MLAs were considered as separate entities they scored more highly, generally having scores of 18, 19 and 20 in the matrix.

6.7.5 <u>Modifications to Methodology</u>

It was agreed after the Sherwood pilot study that Method 2 was the more satisfactory one.

Although previously identified LLDs were used, the revised survey methodology provided justification for their continued recognition in comparison to the remainder of the character area.

6.7.6 Future Work Necessary

The MLAs were originally identified from desk based and field surveys undertaken before 1990. For the full methodology refer to "Nottinghamshire Countryside Appraisal Revised Methodology 1999". These MLAs need to be re-assessed because in certain situations development may have taken place which has removed part of the identified area; or changes in agricultural practice may have affected their boundaries.

This is a related but separate piece of work required as part of the new Landscape Character Assessment which will also involve input from an ecologist. The LDU boundaries may need to be modified as a result of this work.

6.8 Preparation of Policy Sheets

Once a landscape action has been derived from the field collection of data for each policy zone, the final stage is to collate this information into a summary document – the policy sheet.

The model for this process was work previously carried out by Kent County Council – references to documents produced by them are included at the end of this report.

The sequence of stages is as follows:-

Step 1	Write a character summary for the Policy Zone. This is based on information collected at the field survey stage. An example of this is included as Appendix 10.
Step 2	Set up policy sheet framework (see Appendix 11)
Step 3	To policy sheet add a representative photograph of the PZ selected from those taken at character survey stage.
Step 4	Annotate matrix to show policy.
Step 5	List key attributes derived from Condition and Sensitivity field sheet.
Step 6	Add a Landscape Condition description based on the Condition and Sensitivity field sheet.
Step 7	Add a Landscape Sensitivity description based on the Condition and Sensitivity field sheet.
Step 8	Add Policy actions. The former Nottinghamshire Landscape Character Assessment may be used as a guide for these policies, if an equivalent sub-area, e.g. River Meadowlands, exists; but the aim should be to develop policies specific to the PZ rather than generic policies. The actions are divided into landscape feature actions and built feature action.

6.9 Analysis of Data collected in the field for Landscape Condition and Landscape sensitivity.

The field collected data was used to derive a level for landscape condition in a range from very poor to very good. A numerical score was also determined.

The field collected data was also used to derive a level for landscape sensitivity in a range from very low to very high. A numerical score was also determined.

6.9.1 Software programme

A software programme was written and incorporated into the field data collection sheet. In this way the policy description was derived at the end of the site collection data.

6.9.2 Analysis of Landscape Condition

Landscape Condition is strongly influenced by the impact of external factors. The assessment of condition evaluates the pattern of the landscape and the presence of incongruous features on the unity of the landscape. It also evaluates how well the landscape functions as a habitat for wildlife and the condition of cultural or 'man-made' elements, such as enclosure, built elements and roads.

Condition is defined by an analysis of Visual Unity and Functional Integrity and is classified as very poor, poor, moderate, good and very good.

Visual unity, which may be significantly interrupted, interrupted, coherent, unified or strongly unified, is the result of an analysis of Pattern of Elements; for example the pattern of vegetation, enclosure, settlement, weighed against the number of detracting features in the landscape which may range from few to many. Using information collected on the field sheets, this may be unified, coherent or incoherent.

Functional integrity, which may be very weak, weak, coherent, strong or very strong, is an assessment of how the landscape functions and considers the human influence - Cultural integrity, which may range from poor to good, weighed against Ecological Integrity, which may range from weak to strong.

The matrices used to determine Landscape Condition are shown below. The attributes are given a numerical score.

EVALUATION MATRIX TO DETERMINE CONDITION

Visual Unity

S	ı
Elements	
<u>E</u>	
ğ	(
Pattern	
בֿ	1

Unified

Coherent

Incoherent

coherent	unified	strongly unified
interrupted	coherent	unified
significantly	interrupted	coherent
interrupted	,	
'		
Many	Some	Few

Detracting Features

Functional Integrity

Cultural Integrity

Traditional

Modified

Weak

coherent	strong	very strong
weak	coherent	strong
very weak	weak	coherent
Weak	Moderate	Strong

Ecology

Condition

Unified

Coherent

Interrupted

moderate	good	very good
poor	moderate	good
very poor	poor	moderate

Functional Integrity

Coherent

Strong

Weak

Condition

	Strongly Unified 6	moderate 8	good 9	very good 10	very good 11	very good 12
	Unified 5	poor 7	moderate 8	good 9	very good 10	very good 11
Visual Unity	Coherent 4	very poor 6	poor 7	moderate 8	poor 9	moderate 10
>	Interrupted 3	very poor 5	very poor 6	poor 7	moderate 9	Good 10
	Significantly Interrupted 2	very poor 4	very poor 5	very poor 6	poor 7	moderate 8
		Very weak 2	Weak 3	Coherent 4	Strong 5	Very strong 6

Functional Integrity

6.9.2 Analysis of Landscape Sensitivity

Landscape Sensitivity is a measure of the ability of a landscape to accept change without causing irreparable damage to the essential fabric and distinctiveness of that landscape. Sensitivity is defined by an analysis of Sense of Place and Visibility and is classified as very low, low, moderate, high and very high.

Sense of place, which may be very weak, weak, moderate, strong or very strong, is the result of the analysis of Distinctiveness and Time Depth / Continuity. Distinctiveness is defined by how much the key characteristics of an area contribute to its sense of place. For example in a landscape where hedgerows are a key characteristic, if the hedgerow network is intact the landscape can be described as distinct or characteristic. Some landscapes have features that may be considered 'unique' or 'rare', which will contribute to a strong sense of place; at other times characteristic features will be indistinct.

Time depth or continuity ranges from recent, through historic to ancient. Ancient landscapes are uncommon in Nottinghamshire but include those that have had very little human intervention or contain ancient and pre-historic features. Historic landscapes date from the medieval period onwards. This is when the pattern of most Nottinghamshire landscapes was established and is still discernable in some areas overlain by modern features.

Recent landscapes are those where historic elements have been replaced with new elements. They include reclaimed landscapes.

Visibility, which may be very low, low, moderate, high or very high, is the result of an analysis of landform and an assessment of whether this is Dominant, Apparent or Insignificant against Sense of Enclosure and whether this is Enclosed, Intermittent or Open.

The matrices used to determine Landscape Sensitivity are shown below. The attributes are given a numerical score.

EVALUATION MATRIX TO DETERMINE SENSITIVITY

Sense of Place

S.	Unique/Rare 3	moderate 4	strong 5	very strong 6
Distinctiveness	Characteristic 2	weak 3	moderate 4	strong 5
Dist	Indistinct 1	very weak 2	weak 3	moderate 4
		Recent 1	Historic 2	Ancient 3

Continuity

Visibility

	Dominant 3	moderate 4	high 5	very high 6
	Apparent 2	low 3	moderate 4	high 5
-	Insignificant 1	very low 2	low 3	moderate 4
	•	Enclosed 1	Intermittent 2	Open 3

Sense of Enclosure

Sensitivity

	Very strong 6	moderate 8	high 9	very high 10	very high 11	very high 12
e	Strong 5	low 7	moderate 8	high 9	very high 10	very high 11
Sense of Place	Moderate 4	very low 6	low 7	moderate 8	high 9	very high 10
Se	Weak 3	very low 5	very low 6	low 7	moderate 9	high 10
	Very weak 2	very low 4	very low 5	very low 6	low 7	moderate 8
	'	Very low 2	Low 3	Moderate 4	High 5	Very high 6

Visibility

6.9.3 Derivation of Landscape Policy

Landscape Condition and Landscape Sensitivity can then be plotted against each other as shown in the matrix below and a score derived for each attribute:-

EVALUATION MATRIX TO DETERMINE LANDSCAPE ACTIONS

	ı					
	very good 10	very good condition very low sensitivity 16	very good condition low sensitivity 17	very good condition moderate sensitivity 18	very good condition high sensitivity 19	very good condition very high sensitivity 20
Condition	good 9	good condition very low sensitivity 15	good condition low sensitivity 16	good condition moderate sensitivity 17	good condition high sensitivity 18	good condition very high sensitivity 19
	moderate 8	moderate condition very low sensitivity 14	moderate condition low sensitivity 15	moderate condition moderate sensitivity 16	moderate condition high sensitivity 17	moderate condition very high sensitivity 18
	poor 7	poor condition very low sensitivity 13	poor condition low sensitivity 14	poor condition moderate sensitivity 15	poor condition high sensitivity 16	poor condition very high sensitivity 17
	very poor 6	very poor condition very low sensitivity 12	very poor condition low sensitivity 13	very poor condition moderate sensitivity 14	very poor condition high sensitivity 15	very poor condition very high sensitivity 16
		very low 6	low 7	moderate 8	high 9	very high 10

Sensitivity

It can then be considered what tasks are required for each attribute.

EVALUATION MATRIX TO DETERMINE LANDSCAPE ACTIONS

	ı					
	very good	create sensitivity conserve condition	create sensitivity conserve condition	restore sensitivity conserve condition	conserve sensitivity conserve condition	conserve sensitivity conserve condition
Condition	good	create sensitivity conserve condition	create sensitivity conserve condition	restore sensitivity conserve condition	conserve sensitivity conserve condition	conserve sensitivity conserve condition
	moderate	create sensitivity reinforce condition	create sensitivity reinforce condition	reinforce sensitivity reinforce condition	conserve sensitivity reinforce condition	conserve sensitivity reinforce condition
	poor	create sensitivity create condition	create sensitivity create condition	restore sensitivity create condition	conserve sensitivity create condition	conserve sensitivity create condition
	very poor	create sensitivity create condition	create sensitivity create condition	restore sensitivity create condition	conserve sensitivity create condition	conserve sensitivity create condition
		very low	low	moderate	high	very high

Sensitivity

From the above a series of policy words can be derived as shown below:-

	Good	create sensitivity conserve condition	restore sensitivity conserve condition	conserve sensitivity conserve condition
		Reinforce	Conserve and Reinforce	Conserve
Condition	Moderate	create sensitivity reinforce condition	reinforce sensitivity reinforce condition	conserve sensitivity reinforce condition
Co		Create and Reinforce	Conserve and Create	Conserve and Restore
	Poor	create sensitivity create condition	restore sensitivity create condition	conserve sensitivity create condition
		Create	Restore and Create	Restore
		Low	Moderate	High
			Sensitivity	

Each policy can be defined more precisely as follows:-

Conserve – actions that encourage the conservation of distinctive features and features in good condition.

Conserve and Reinforce – actions that conserve distinctive features and features in good condition, and strengthen and reinforce those features that may be vulnerable.

Reinforce – actions that strengthen or reinforce distinctive features and patterns in the landscape.

Conserve and Restore – actions that encourage the conservation of distinctive features in good condition, whilst restoring elements or areas in poorer condition and removing or mitigating detracting features.

Conserve and Create – actions that conserve distinctive features and features in good condition, whilst creating new features or areas where they have been lost or are in poor condition.

Restore – actions that encourage the restoration of distinctive features and the removal or mitigation of detracting features.

Restore and Create – actions that restore distinctive features and the removal or mitigation of detracting features, whilst creating new features or areas where they have been lost or are in poor condition.

Reinforce and Create – actions that strengthen or reinforce distinctive features and patterns in the landscape, whilst creating new features or areas where they have been lost or are in poor condition.

Create – actions that create new features or areas where existing elements are lost or are in poor condition.

7.0 PRESENTATION OF INFORMATION AND RELATIONSHIP TO THE EXISTING DOCUMENT

7.1 Existing Nottinghamshire Landscape Guidelines

The existing Nottinghamshire Landscape Guidelines consists of a published document as well as The Landscape Types Plan. For each Regional Character Area the following information was provided:-

Section 1 Physical and Human Influences

Section 2 Visual Character of the Landscape

Section 3 Landscape Evolution and Change

Section 4 Landscape Strategies and Key Recommendations

Section 5 Landscape Guidelines – including species list

The above information was all text based. The above document contained very detailed information which should not be lost in the process of revision of the LCA.

7.2 Revised Nottinghamshire Landscape Guidelines

The revised document will consist of the following sections:-

Section 1 Physical and Human Influences

The section's 'Introduction' – the shape of the land and soils require only minor amendments. The landscape history section can be condensed and included as an Appendix.

Section 2 Visual Character of the Landscape

This will require minor updates to the 'Introduction'. The existing sub-divisions of the County Character Areas such as Sherwood – Forest Sandlands, Forest Estatelands, River Meadowlands, Settled Sandlands, Village Farmlands and Sandstone Estatelands will be replaced by generic sub-divisions generated by the Living Landscapes process.

For Sherwood these will be Estate Farmlands, Estate Farmlands with Plantations, Meadowlands, Meadowlands with Plantations, Village Farmlands, Wooded Estatelands and Wooded Farmlands.

The visual character of each of these will be described. A bullet point summary of the visual character of each LCP will be included on the Policy sheet. This will be able to be used in the same way as previous when commenting on planning applications.

Section 3 Landscape Evolution and Change

This section which details the drivers for change in the landscape such as agricultural policy, transportation, urban and industrial development, mineral extraction and tourism will require substantial updating to bring it into line with recent developments and legislation.

Sections 4 and 5 Landscape Strategies and Key Recommendations

These sections will be replaced by a Landscape Policy for each Policy Zone, such as Conserve, Reinforce, Restore and Create. A bullet point summary of the landscape actions is included on the data sheet particular to the Policy Zone.

Ultimately the revised Sherwood chapter will consist of the following:-

Section 1 Updated physical and human influences

Section 2 Visual character of the landscape

Section 3 Landscape evolution and change

Section 4 Policy Zone Descriptions Appendices Field Sheets – Character

Condition and Consiti

Condition and Sensitivity

As well as the following tables/figures:-

Species List

Figure – County Character Area

Figure – LDUs

Figure - LCPs

Figure – Policy Zones

8.0 WORK COMPLETED AUGUST 2009

8.1 East Midlands Regional Landscape Character Assessment

The Client for the work was Natural England and it has been carried out by LDA Design Consulting LLP. It was issued in May 2009 and incorporates the Peak District National Park and Lincolnshire Wolds AONB. It consists of the following sections:-

Section 1 Introduction to the East Midlands Regional Landscape

Character Assessment

Section 2 Existing Landscape and Historic Landscape Character

Assessments

Section 3 Foundations of the Landscape

Section 4 Regional Landscape Character Types

8.2 Bassetlaw Landscape Character Assessment

8.2.1 <u>Introduction</u>

The Client for this work was Bassetlaw District Council and it has been carried out by joint working between the County Council, Bassetlaw District Council and Consultants Faulks Perry Culley and Rech. It is due to be completed at the beginning of September 2009 and has defined Policy Zones for the 5 County Character Areas within Bassetlaw:

- Magnesian Limestone
- Sherwood
- Mid Nottinghamshire Farmlands
- Trent Washlands
- Idle Lowlands

8.2.2 Methodology

The work has followed this methodology with the exception that, in the Magnesian Limestone, Mid Nottinghamshire Farmlands and Idle Lowlands areas, Mature Landscape Areas have not been treated as distinct Policy Zones.

8.3 Greater Nottingham Landscape Character Assessment

8.3.1 Introduction

The Client for this work was NCC Spatial Planning Team and it has been carried out by Consultants TEP with technical assistance from Nottinghamshire County Council Landscape & Reclamation Team. It is due to be completed at the end of September 2009 and has defined Policy Zones for the 8 County Character Areas within Greater Nottingham. It includes the Erewash Valley, part of which is in Derbyshire.

- Vale of Belvoir
- Lincolnshire and Nottinghamshire Wolds
- South Nottinghamshire Farmlands
- Trent Valley
- Trent Washlands

- Mid Nottinghamshire Farmlands
- Sherwood
- Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire Coalfields
- Erewash Valley

8.3.2 Methodology

The work has not followed this methodology in that the Landscape Description Units were not further sub-divided into LCPs to survey them. Also, the method of deriving the landscape policies was different. However, the Policy sheets are of a similar format.

8.4 Trent Washlands Landscape Character Assessment

8.4.1 Introduction

The Client for this work was the NCC Minerals Planning Team and it was carried out by NCC Landscape and Reclamation Team. It was completed in July 2009. It followed this methodology and MLAs were considered as separate Policy Zones.

8.5 Newark and Sherwood Landscape Character Assessment

8.5.1 Introduction

This is being carried out by Newark and Sherwood District Council with technical assistance from NCC Landscape and Reclamation Team. It is due to be completed by the end of 2009. It has followed this methodology but MLAs have not been considered as separate Policy Zones.

9.0 RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER DOCUMENTS

9.1 Local Biodiversity Action Plan

9.1.1 Introduction

The Local Biodiversity Action Plan (LBAP) process was launched in Nottinghamshire in 1997 and in 1998 'Action for Wildlife in Nottinghamshire: Local Diversity Action Plan for Nottinghamshire' was published in response to the UK Biodiversity Action Plan (UK BAP) launched in 1994. The UK BAP was the UK's response to the Convention on Biological Diversity signed by 159 world governments at the Rio Earth Summit in 1992.

The LBAP document lists priority habitats and contains Habitat Action Plans for each habitat type. These detail current status of the habitat, threats, current initiatives to manage, targets for maintaining, restoring and expanding, and proposed actions. It also lists Species Action Plans. These detail current status of the species, threats, current initiatives, targets and proposed actions.

9.1.2 <u>Relationship between LBAP and Nottinghamshire Landscape Character</u> Assessment

At present there is no link between the targets or proposed actions in the LBA and the Policy Actions in the LCA. This could be achieved in future revisions of the document by:-

- making more reference to the LBAP priority habitats in the landscape character field survey sheets and particularly in the Landscape Condition survey sheets. For example in the Sherwood character area the following LBAP habitats are present:-
 - Lowland Dry Acid Grassland
 - Lowland Heathland
 - Oak-birch woodland
 - Planted coniferous woodland
 - Urban and post industrial habitat

Action: add check LBAP priority habitats present to Checklist 3 and 7.

 making more reference to threats detailed in the LBAP habitat action plan when describing threats to Landscape Condition on the field survey sheet.

<u>Action</u>: Add habitat action plans to desk based data collected for Condition and Sensitivity. Add to Checklist 7.

 making more reference to targets detailed in the LBAP habitat action plans in landscape actions identified in Policy sheets.

Action: Refer to Habitat Action Plan targets when writing Landscape Actions on Policy sheet. Add to Checklist 7.

9.2 Nottinghamshire Historic Landscape Characterisation

9.2.1 Introduction

The Nottinghamshire Historic Landscape Characterisation Project (HLC) was carried out between 1998 and 2000 by the Environment Department of Nottinghamshire County Council with sponsorship from English Heritage. Its primary objective was the production of the Nottinghamshire Historic Landscape Character Map.

There was already a close relationship between the HLC and the existing Nottinghamshire Landscape Character Assessment, which contained a description of the evolution of the landscape in each character area. The HLC then developed this process to describe in more detail the historical depth or 'time depth' which is visible in today's landscapes and to map the historic landscape. Twenty one mapping categories were recognised and these are

described in 'The character of Nottinghamshire's Historic Landscape – The Nottinghamshire Historic Landscape Characterisation Project 1998-2000'.

9.2.2 <u>The relationship between the HLC and the Nottinghamshire Landscape</u> Character Assessment

The HLC Plan has been used in the process of defining the LCPs (see paragraph 6.5.2) and boundaries of LCPs were amended where they obviously conflicted with the HLC polygons, for example defining an area of historic parkland.

The consideration of time depth feeds into the information collected at the landscape character survey stage where historic pattern is recorded on the field sheets and at the landscape condition and sensitivity survey stage where cultural integrity and sense of place are analysed.

9.3 Green Infrastructure Plans

9.3.1 Introduction

Green Infrastructure is described in the East Midlands Regional Plan adopted March 2009 as:-

"Networks of multi-functional green space which sit within and contribute to the type of high quality natural and built environment required to deliver sustainable communities. Delivering, protecting and enhancing these networks requires the creation of new assets to link with river corridors, woodlands, nature reserves, urban green spaces, historic sites and other existing assets."

The Regional Plan requires the production of a Green Infrastructure Strategy for all of the Growth Points in the East Midlands. For example the Green Infrastructure Plan for the 6Cs growth point is being produced by Consultants Chris Blandford Associates and includes the cities of Leicester, Derby and Nottingham.

A Green Infrastructure Plan has also been adopted as Interim Planning Guidance by Mansfield District Council in April 2009.

9.3.2 Relationship between the Green Infrastructure Plans and Nottinghamshire Landscape Character Assessment

Since the GIS relates to urban areas and the landscape character assessment process excludes urban areas from the study, these projects do not overlap but are inter-related. It is important that the agencies developing these strategies are familiar with the landscape character assessment work already carried out, so that the studies knit together effectively at the urban fringes.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

DPZs Draft Policy Zones

GIP Green Infrastructure Plan

GIS Geographical Information System

GPS Global Positioning System

HLC Historic Landscape Characterisation

LBAP Local Biodiversity Action Plan

LCA Landscape Character Assessment

LCP Landscape Character Parcel

LDDs Local Development Documents

LDF Local Development Framework

LDU Landscape Description Unit

LLDs Local Landscape Designations

LLP The Living Landscapes Project

PPG Planning Policy Guidance Note

PPS Planning Policy Statement

PZ Policy Zone

UKBAP United Kingdom Biodiversity Action Plan

REFERENCES

Canterbury Landscape Appraisal

Kent County Council

Herne Bay and Whitstable Landscape Appraisal - June 2000

Kent County Council

Landscape Character Assessment Guidance for England and Scotland 2002

Published by the Countryside Agency and Scottish Natural Heritage

Mature Landscape Areas – Nottinghamshire

An independent Review and Analysis of the Designation by David Tyldesley and Associates 1997

Nottinghamshire and Nottingham Joint Structure Plan

Adopted February 2006

Nottinghamshire Countryside Appraisal

Nottinghamshire County Council - Revised Methodology 1999

The 'Living Landscapes Project' Handbook

Stephen Warnock 2002

The Nottinghamshire Historic Landscape Characterisation 1998-2000

Nottinghamshire County Council

The Nottinghamshire Landscape Guidelines

Nottinghamshire County Council, published 1997

Warwickshire Landscape Guidelines (3 Volumes) - November 1993

Warwickshire County Council Countryside Commission

HMJ/T01/MethodologyAugust 2009/methodology august09.doc 26th August 2009